



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 8, 2004

Ordinance 14940

Proposed No. 2004-0155.2

Sponsors Phillips

1 AN ORDINANCE concurring with the recommendation of
2 the hearing examiner to approve, subject to conditions, the
3 application for public benefit rating system assessed
4 valuation for open space submitted by Scott A. and Mary
5 Jo Haberman for property located at 17210 – 272nd
6 Avenue Southeast, Issaquah, WA 98027, designated
7 department of natural resources and parks, water and land
8 resources division file no. E03CT029.

9
10

11 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

12 SECTION 1. This ordinance does hereby adopt and incorporate herein as its
13 findings and conclusions the findings and conclusions contained in the report and
14 recommendation of the hearing examiner dated May 18, 2004, to approve subject to
15 conditions, the application for public benefit rating system assessed valuation for open
16 space submitted by Scott A. and Mary Jo Haberman for property located at 17210 –
17 272nd Avenue Southeast, Issaquah, WA 98027, designated department of natural

Ordinance 14940

18 resources and parks, water and land resources division file no. E03Ct029, and the council
19 does hereby adopt as its action the recommendation or recommendations contained in the
20 report.

21

Ordinance 14940 was introduced on 3/29/2004 and passed by the Metropolitan King
County Council on 6/7/2004, by the following vote:

Yes: 13 - Mr. Phillips, Ms. Edmonds, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Lambert, Mr.
Pelz, Mr. McKenna, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Hammond, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague,
Mr. Irons, Ms. Patterson and Mr. Constantine

No: 0


Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON



Larry Phillips, Chair

ATTEST:



Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments A. Hearing Examiner Report dated May 18, 2004

14940

May 18, 2004

**OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

400 Yesler Way, Room 404
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 296-4660
Facsimile (206) 296-1654

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE METROPOLITAN KING COUNTY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Department of Natural Resources & Parks, Water and Land Resources Division File No.
E03CT029
Proposed Ordinance No. **2004-0155**

Open Space Taxation (Public Benefit Rating System)
Application of **Scott A. and Mary Jo Haberman**
17210 – 272nd Avenue Southeast
Issaquah, WA 98027

Location of Property: 17210 – 272nd Avenue Southeast
Issaquah, Washington

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department's Preliminary:	Approve 3.46 acres for 50% of market value
Department's Final:	Approve 3.46 acres for 50% of market value
Examiner:	Approve 3.46 acres for 50% of market value

PRELIMINARY REPORT:

The Department of Natural Resources & Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, report on item no. E03CT029 was received by the Examiner on May 4, 2004.

PUBLIC HEARING:

After reviewing the Department of Natural Resources & Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, report and examining available information on file with the application, the Examiner conducted a public hearing on the subject as follows:

The hearing on item no. E03CT029 was opened by the Hearing Examiner at 9:49 a.m., May 12, 2004, in the Hearing Examiner's conference room, 400 Yesler Way, Room 404, Seattle, Washington, and closed at 9:50 a.m.

Participants at the public hearing and the exhibits offered and entered are listed in the attached minutes.

A verbatim recording of the hearing is available in the office of the King County Hearing Examiner.

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATION: Having reviewed the record in this matter, the Examiner now makes and enters the following:

FINDINGS:

1. General Information:

Owner: See "SUBJECT" above
Location: See "SUBJECT" above

Priority Resources Requested: **High Priority Resources**
Significant plant, wildlife or salmonid habitat area
Significant aquatic ecosystem

Zoning: RA-5
Parcel #252306-9093
Total acreage: 4.93
Requested for PBRS: 3.70
Recommended PBRS: 3.46

Please note: Recommended PBRS acreage excludes home site and gravel/dirt driveway.

STR: SE-SE-25-23-06

2. Except as modified herein, the facts set forth in the King County Department of Natural Resources & Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, Preliminary Report to the King County Hearing Examiner for the May 12, 2004, public hearing are found to be correct and are incorporated herein by this reference. Copies of the said report will be attached to the copies of this report submitted to the King County Council.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. Approval of current use valuation for 3.46 acres of the subject property, pursuant to the Public Benefit Rating System adopted by King County Ordinance No. 10511, as amended, would be consistent with the purposes and intent of King County to maintain, preserve, conserve and otherwise continue in existence adequate open space lands and to assure the use and enjoyment of natural resources and scenic beauty for the economic and social well-being of King County and its citizens.
2. Timely application has been made to King County for the current use valuation of the subject property to begin in 2005. Notice of said application was given in the manner required by law.

3. The subject property contains priority open space resources and is entitled to bonus points pursuant to the King County Public Benefit Rating System, which justify a total award of 5 points. The resulting current use value is 50% of market value for 3.46 acres of the subject property.

RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE the request for current use valuation of 50% of market value for 3.46 acres of the subject property, subject to the conditions recommended in the Department of Natural Resources & Parks report for the May 12, 2004, public hearing.

Current use valuation shall be subject to all terms and conditions of RCW 84.34 and King County Code Chapter 20.36, as the same may be amended from time to time, and all regulations and rules duly adopted to implement state law and county ordinances pertaining to current use valuation.

RECOMMENDED this 18th day of May, 2004.

Stafford L. Smith
King County Hearing Examiner

TRANSMITTED this 18th day of May, 2004, to the following parties and interested persons:

Scott & Mary Jo Haberman
17210 – 272nd Ave. SE
Issaquah, WA 98027

Susan Monroe, Department of Assessments
Ted Sullivan, Dept. of Natural Resources & Parks
Charlie Sundberg, Office of Cultural Resources
Marilyn Cope, KCC – Committee Staff

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL
AND ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED**

In order to appeal the decision of the Examiner, written notice of appeal must be filed with the Clerk of the King County Council with a fee of \$250.00 (check payable to King County Office of Finance) **on or before June 1, 2004**. If a notice of appeal is filed, the original and six (6) copies of a written appeal statement specifying the basis for the appeal and argument in support of the appeal must be filed with the Clerk of the King County Council **on or before June 8, 2004**. Appeal statements may refer only to facts contained in the hearing record; new facts may not be presented on appeal.

Filing requires actual delivery to the Office of the Clerk of the Council, Room 1025, King County Courthouse, 516 3rd Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104, prior to the close of business (4:30 p.m.) on the date due. Prior mailing is not sufficient if actual receipt by the Clerk does not occur within the applicable time period. The Examiner does not have authority to extend the time period unless the Office

of the Clerk is not open on the specified closing date, in which event delivery prior to the close of business on the next business day is sufficient to meet the filing requirement.

If a written notice of appeal and filing fee are not filed within fourteen (14) calendar days of the date of this report, or if a written appeal statement and argument are not filed within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the date of this report, the decision of the hearing examiner contained herein shall be the final decision of King County without the need for further action by the Council.

Action of the Council is final. The action of the Council on a recommendation of the Examiner shall be final and conclusive unless within twenty-one (21) days from the date of the action an aggrieved party or person applies for a writ of certiorari from the Superior Court in and for the County of King, State of Washington, for the purpose of review of the action taken.

MINUTES OF THE MAY 12, 2004, PUBLIC HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES & PARKS FILE NO. E03CT029:

Stafford L. Smith was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. Participating in the hearing and representing the Department was Ted Sullivan. No others participated in this hearing.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the hearing record:

- Exhibit No. 1 *Not Submitted*
- Exhibit No. 2 *Not Submitted*
- Exhibit No. 3 *Not Submitted*
- Exhibit No. 4 PBRs Staff Report
- Exhibit No. 5 Affidavit of Publication
- Exhibit No. 6 Notice of hearing from the Hearing Examiner's Office
- Exhibit No. 7 Notice of hearing from the PBRs program
- Exhibit No. 8 Legal notice and introductory ordinance to County Council
- Exhibit No. 9 Application signed/notarized
- Exhibit No. 10 Letter to Applicant re: received application and approval schedule
- Exhibit No. 11 Assessor's map
- Exhibit No. 12 King County Assessor's database printout
- Exhibit No. 13 Arcview/orthophoto map

SLS:ms
E03CT029 2004-0155 RPT

Attachment

This document is provided for information only. DO NOT complete and return. A completed copy will be furnished to the Applicant(s) by the Office of the Hearing Examiner after an application has been approved by the Metropolitan King County Council.

OPEN SPACE TAXATION AGREEMENT

Chapter 84.34 RCW

(To be used for "Open Space", "Timber Land" Classification or "Reclassification" Only)

Owner(s)
Granting Authority
Legal Description

Assessor's Property Tax Parcel or Account Number:
Department of Natural Resources & Parks File Number:

This agreement between _____ hereinafter called the "Owner", and
_____ hereinafter called the "Granting Authority".

Whereas the owner of the above described real property having made application for classification of that property under the provisions of Chapter 84.34 RCW.

And whereas, both the owner and granting authority agree to limit the use of said property, recognizing that such land has substantial public value as open space and that the preservation of such land constitutes an important physical, social, esthetic, and economic asset to the public, and both parties agree that the classification of the property during the life of this agreement shall be for:

Open Space

Now, therefore, the parties, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions set forth herein, do agree as follows:

1. During the term of this agreement, the land shall be used only in accordance with the preservation of its classified use.
2. No structures shall be erected upon such land except those directly related to, and compatible with, the classified use of the land.
3. This agreement shall be effective commencing on the date the legislative body receives the signed agreement from the property owner and shall remain in effect until the property is withdrawn or removed from classification.
4. This agreement shall apply to the parcels of land described herein and shall be binding upon the heirs, successors and assignees of the parties hereto.
5. The landowner may withdraw from this agreement if, after a period of eight years, he or she files a request to **withdraw** classification with the assessor. Two years from the date of that request the assessor shall withdraw classification from the land, and the applicable taxes and interest shall be imposed as provided in RCW 84.34.070 and 84.34.108.
6. After the effective date of this agreement, any change in use of the land, except through compliance with items (5), (7), or (9), shall be considered a **breach** of this agreement, and shall be subject to removal of classification and liable for applicable taxes, penalties, and interest as provided in RCW 84.34.080 and RCW 84.34.108.
7. A breach of agreement shall not have occurred and the additional tax shall not be imposed if removal of classification resulted solely from:
 - a) Transfer to a governmental entity in exchange for other land located within the State of Washington.
 - b) A taking through the exercise of the power of eminent domain, or sale or transfer to an entity having the power in anticipation of the exercise of such power and having manifested its intent in writing or by other official action.
 - c) A natural disaster such as a flood, windstorm, earthquake, or other such calamity rather than by virtue of the act of the land owner changing the use of such property.
 - d) Official action by an agency of the State of Washington or by the county or city where the land is located disallowing the present use of such land.

